

Fourier Analysis and its Applications
Prof. G. K. Srinivasan
Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
25 A formula of Srinivasa Ramanujan

A formula of Srinivasa Ramanujan As a last item in this chapter we shall discuss a remarkable formula discovered by the great Indian Mathematician *Srinivasa Ramanujan*.

- (1) *Robert Kanigal, The Man who knew infinity: Life and Genius of Ramanujan, Scribner, 1991.*
- (2) Gamma function featured prominently in the works of Ramanujan.
- (3) The formula:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(a + it)|^2 \exp(-it\xi) dt = \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(a) \Gamma\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cosh^{-2a}(\xi/2), \quad a > 0.$$

Ramanujan, Messenger of Mathematics, 1915. Cited in G. H. Hardy, *Collected papers, Volume 7, p. 98ff.* In the next few slides we shall prove this remarkable Fourier transform formula of Ramanujan.

We first show that the function

$$t \mapsto |\Gamma(a + it)|^2$$

decays very rapidly. For this we need to recall the *Stirling's approximation formula*:

$$n! \sim n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This formula given by *James Stirling* in his *Methodus Differentialis* in 1730 is unarguably the most remarkable formulas in classical analysis. The corresponding version for the gamma function reads:

$$\Gamma(x + 1) \sim x^x e^{-x} \sqrt{2\pi x}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We need to look at the behaviour of the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ for values of z lying in the region R_δ in the complex plane given by $|\text{Arg } z| < \pi - \delta$ and $|z| \gg 1$. In this region the $z^{z+\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined using the principal branch of the logarithm namely

$$z^{z+\frac{1}{2}} = \exp\left(\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log z\right), \quad \log z = \ln |z| + i \text{Arg } z.$$

The Stirling's formula in the complex domain now states that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty, z \in R_\delta} \Gamma(z + 1) \left(z^{z+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z} \sqrt{2\pi}\right)^{-1} = 1.$$

Reference for this is *B. C. Carlson, Special functions of applied mathematics, Academic Press 1977, pp. 45-47.* Since

$$|\Gamma(a + it)| = |\Gamma(a + it + 1)| (a^2 + t^2)^{-1/2},$$

it suffices to show that $|\Gamma(a + it + 1)|$ is in the Schwartz class and we are ready to use the Stirling's formula. The vertical line $\{a + it : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ certainly lies in the region R_δ for any choice of δ . Let us look at the approximation to $\sqrt{2\pi} \Gamma(a + it + 1)$ given by Stirling's formula:

$$\exp\left(\left(a + it + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(a + it) - (a + it)\right)$$

To estimate the absolute value of this we need to look at *exp of the real part of*

$$\left(a + it + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log(a + it) - (a + it)$$

Ignoring the multiplicative constant $\exp(-a)$ we are left with estimating

$$\exp\left(\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln |a + it| - t \operatorname{Arg}(a + it)\right)$$

Clearly, as $t \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\exp\left(\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln |a + it|\right) = t^{a+\frac{1}{2}}O(1).$$

while the other factor

$$\exp\left(-t \operatorname{Arg}(a + it)\right) = \exp(-t\pi/2)O(1)$$

since $\operatorname{Arg}(a + it)$ tends to $\pi/2$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that $|\Gamma(a + it)|$ decays exponentially fast whereby we can try to compute the Fourier transform of $|\Gamma(a + it)|^2$ directly using the definition. The behaviour as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ is similar and is left as an exercise. Next, observe that since a and t are real,

$$|\Gamma(a + it)|^2 = \Gamma(a + it)\Gamma(a - it) = \Gamma(2a)B(a + it, a - it).$$

$B(p, q)$ denotes the *beta function* and we have used the *beta-gamma relation*. Recall that

$$B(p, q) = \int_0^1 t^{p-1}(1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} p > 0, \operatorname{Re} q > 0.$$

Setting $t = (1 + e^u)^{-1}$ in the integral gives after a little algebra,

$$B(p, q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\frac{qu}{2} - \frac{pu}{2}) du}{(e^{u/2} + e^{-u/2})^{p+q}}$$

Exchanging the roles of p and q and using the symmetry of the beta function we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(p, q) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(\frac{qu}{2} - \frac{pu}{2}) + \exp(\frac{pu}{2} - \frac{qu}{2}) du}{(e^{u/2} + e^{-u/2})^{p+q}} \frac{1}{2} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(qu - pu) + \exp(pu - qu)}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{p+q}} du \end{aligned}$$

we use this with $p = a + it$ and $q = a - it$ and we get that

$$|\Gamma(a + it)|^2 = \Gamma(2a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2iut} + e^{-2iut}}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} du$$

We now multiply this by $\exp(-it\xi)$ and integrate with respect to t to compute the Fourier transform of $|\Gamma(a + it)|^2$ as an iterated integral:

$$I(\xi) = \Gamma(2a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(2u-\xi)} + e^{-it(2u+\xi)}}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} du$$

It is very tempting to switch the order of integrals:

$$\Gamma(2a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{it(2u-\xi)} + e^{-it(2u+\xi)}) dt$$

We see the emergence of the problem of coping with oscillatory integrals, as was the case with the Fourier inversion theorem. To get around the difficulty we must resort to *the* $\exp(-\epsilon t^2)$ *trick!* namely,

$$I(\xi) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(2a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it(2u-\xi)-\epsilon t^2} + e^{-it(2u+\xi)-\epsilon t^2}}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} du$$

We may now safely switch the order of integrals and

$$\begin{aligned} I(\xi) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(2a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{it(2u-\xi)-\epsilon t^2} + e^{-it(2u+\xi)-\epsilon t^2}) dt \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(2a) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp -((2u - \xi)^2/4\epsilon)}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(2a) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\epsilon}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\exp -((2u + \xi)^2/4\epsilon)}{(e^u + e^{-u})^{2a}} \end{aligned}$$

The change of variables $2u \mp \xi = \sqrt{4\epsilon}v$ in the integrals now gives the closed form expression for the Fourier transform:

$$I(\xi) = 2\pi\Gamma(2a)(e^{\xi/2} + e^{-\xi/2})^{-2a} = 2^{1-2a}\pi\Gamma(2a) \cosh^{-2a}(\xi/2)$$

To see how this is the same as Ramanujan's formula we need the *Duplication formula of Legendre*.

$$\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2a) = 2^{2a-1}\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a + \frac{1}{2}).$$

We have completed the proof of Ramanujan's formula. As a reference for this material:

- (1) D. Chakrabarty and G. K. Srinivasan, On a remarkable formula of Ramanujan, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **99**, 125–135 (2012).
- (2) G. K. Srinivasan, A unified approach to the integrals of Mellin-Barnes-Hecke type, *Expositiones Math.*, **31**, 151-168 (2013).

In the second reference you will find many other integrals of a similar kind evaluated using a generalization of the $\exp(-\epsilon t^2)$ trick.

Some thoughts of the Duplication formula For completeness let us sketch a proof of the duplication formula. Start with the formula

$$B(p, p) = \int_0^1 t^{p-1}(1-t)^{p-1} dt = 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2p-1} \theta \cos^{2p-1} \theta d\theta$$

To use the double angle formula we rewrite this as

$$B(p, p) = 2^{1-2p} \int_0^{\pi/2} (2 \sin \theta \cos \theta)^{2p-1} (2d\theta)$$

Setting $2\theta = \phi$ we get

$$B(p, p) = 2^{1-2p} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{2p-1} \phi d\phi = 2^{2-2p} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2p-1} \phi \cos^{2(1/2)-1} \phi d\phi = 2^{1-2p} B(p, 1/2).$$

Now assume that p is real and positive. Using the beta-gamma relation:

$$\frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(2p)} = 2^{1-2p} \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2})}$$

where we have used the self-evident fact that $\Gamma(a) \neq 0$ if a is real positive. Cancelling $\Gamma(p)$ and rearranging gives for p real positive,

$$\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2p) = 2^{2p-1}\Gamma(p)\Gamma(p + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Using the identity theorem from complex analysis we conclude that the result evidently extends to complex p , whenever both sides are defined. The Duplication formula proved by Legendre in 1809 and generalized by Gauss in 1812 may seem mysterious but to de-mystify it let us recall the *Reflection formula of Euler*:

$$\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1 - z) = \pi / \sin(\pi z)$$

The formula says that *The gamma function is "one half of the sine function"* in a multiplicative sense. So any factorization formula for sine is likely to have a gamma analogue. Well, the sine function $f(x) = \sin \pi x$ has the factorization

$$f(2x) = 2f(x)f(x + \frac{1}{2})$$

with a striking similarity with the duplication formula:

$$\Gamma(2x) = 2^{2x-1}\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x + \frac{1}{2})$$

For more on these matters see *R. Goenka and G. K. Srinivasan, Gamma function and its functional equations, Resonance, 26, 367-386 (2021)*.